Following is the data that I was able to collect last week regarding our 64-bit/NUMA agenda.

Observe the trending in the last two lines of the table: unless we apply all the three optimization viz - NUMA aware buffer allocation, buffer alignment (to cache lines) and removing of all references to shared variables in the adapter/netdev objects, we do not reach the peak of 5.7 Mpps . This is somewhat puzzling - considering that throughput is not affected by odd sized/ misaligned packets. Yet, we needed buffer alignment to go from 4bMpps to 5.7 Mpps.

Where do we go from here? Remove 3 instances of spinlocks forcefully from the xmit path in the kernel J, or add a buffer recycling patch (as we had done before) in order to cut down the overall cost of processing packet (which is about 3000 cycles presently).

I have cleaned the driver code and added conditional flags to compile each of these options independently.

- Hari

Performance Measurement and Analysis

Intel/ DEG/ ECG/ Chandler, x552-3163

## Platform

Green City, NHM 2x, 2.53 GHz, NO SMT

DDR3, $1066 \mathrm{MHz}, 2 \times 3 \times 2 \mathrm{~GB}$ per DIMM $=12 \mathrm{~GB}$
RHEL 5.1, Linux 2.6.30.1 SMP+NUMA+(SLUB)

IxGbE Driver Version: 2.0.34.3 + ECG Optimizations
$1 \times$ Dual Port Niantic, 8 queue pairs per port enabled

L3 Forwarding with 8 Flows (1 flow per queue and per core)

## LEGEND

- $\quad$ STOCK $=$ Original 2.0.34.3 driver
- NUMA = Node aware descriptor and packet buffer allocation
- $\quad$ ALIGN $=$ packet buffer is aligned to start at a Cache line boundary
- NO_SHARED_REFs = we have removed references to some variables (like device stats) that are shared by different HW threads.

| Ethernet Frame Size (Bytes) à |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 64 | 65 | 68 | 72 | 128 | 129 | 132 |
| Driver Optimizations $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| STOCK | $\begin{array}{r} 1,534,5 \\ 12 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STOCK + NUMA | $\begin{array}{r} 1,589,0 \\ 69 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,590,2 \\ 53 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,485,8 \\ 97 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,593,7 \\ 53 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,596,4 \\ 06 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,591,2 \\ 32 \end{array}$ | $1,399,4$ 14 |
| STOCK + ALIGN | $1,544,6$ 97 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,438,4 \\ 94 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,522,1 \\ 80 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,522,1 \\ 80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,485,2 \\ 38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,550,9 \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,548,1 \\ 17 \end{array}$ |
| STOCK + NO_SHARED_REFS | $\begin{array}{r} 1,589,0 \\ \mid \quad 69 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,438,4 \\ 94 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,522,1 \\ 80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,522,1 \\ 80 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,485,2 \\ 38 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,550,9 \\ 54 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,548,1 \\ 17 \end{array}$ |
| STOCK + ALIGN + NO_SHARED_REFS | $\begin{array}{r} 1,542,6 \\ \mid \quad 80 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STOCK + NUMA + ALIGN | $\begin{array}{r} 2,974,1 \\ \mid \quad 31 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STOCK + NUMA + NO_SHARED_REFS | $\begin{array}{r} 4,020,8 \\ \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} 4 \\ \hline \end{array}\right. \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STOCK + NUMA + NO_SHARED_REFS + ALIGN | $\begin{array}{r} 5,741,2 \\ 56 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,660,1 \\ 42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,690,7 \\ 21 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,725,2 \\ 47 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,653,0 \\ 75 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $5,718,0$ 67 | $\begin{array}{r}5,678,6 \\ 55 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |


"It is better to do the right problem the wrong
way than the wrong problem the right way"

- Richard Hamming

